GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1904-5

(S & A)

File No. 115-0403-04

Serial Nos. 748

Papers Filed.

Month February

SUBJECT.

Pensions to Mir Lungo Gulkhar Daik
Asstt Supdt Pers Survey

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.	LATER REFERENCES.
B. Sept 04 Sl No 1/66	SLa 730 of 06-07
	B. Jan 08 881-4. Sht 285-90 778
	Filed in 809 881 3 4 SH 245-08
	SdA 34-18 881 18, 15 Dec 18

G. R. S. 59.

G. R. S. 11] 1904.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

II

Salaries and allces

NOTES.

File No. 115-03

File Heading.

Pension to Mr Lingo Muthar Naik, Asst Superintendent of the Mysore Revenue Survey.

From

K. Subba Rao. Naik

No. Dated 1-12-04. Received 3-12-04.

Diary No. 2169.	Enclos.	Spare Copies.	Serial No. 7.

Brief Abstract of Letter.

States that the Govt of India have declined to Sanction, in the absence of special reasons, the refund of the privilege leave allces that have been resumed by the Durbar from the arrears of pension due to his father and prays that the Durbar may assign any special reasons, they deem fit and get the refund of the allowances Sanctioned

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Y The Govt of India have declined to Sanction the refund of the privilege allowances resumed by the Durbar. There appear no Special reasons beyond those urged in the Durbar's letter & I/11/03 to request them to reconsider their decision which is now under

21/12/04

M 23/12/04 & 25 Oct/04 enct forwarded tentatively to the Survey & Settlement Commr for favor of report & reply

Notes—First sheet.

MGCPB—5,000—18-5-04

23/12/1904

Secy to Govt

G.R.S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

S & A File No. 115 of 1903

Serial No. H. C. No 16
28.1.05

I am not aware of the grounds on which the Durban reviewed the Privilege leave allowances in question, consequently it is difficult to offer an opinion as to whether at the time of the Durban Order No 15097-136-C&A 1396 dated 26th April 1903 on Privilege leave and the fact that the allowance now in question had been granted, it was under the petitioners case rather and. I do not see that any special reasons exist which can be separated from the inherent justice of the claim.

28.1.05.

31/1

Submitted for orders
DMB
2-05.

The Government of India refused to sanction the refund of the Privilege leave allowance received by the Durban because 1) the grant of Privilege leave preparatory to retirement is inadmissible under the rules laid down in the Civil Service Regulations and 2) there were no special reasons apparent on which the

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

MGCPB—20,000—1-11-04.

Serial No.

making an exception of this case.

Though the grant of privilege leave preparatory to retirement was inadmissible under the M.S. Regulations also, and it was only lately that the Government heard in their Pro no 15094-136 S&A 1396 dated the 20 April 1903 ordered that such leave may be granted.

As for any special reasons justifying the part of India making an exception of this case it will be shewed that in his petition dated the 1 December 1904 K. Subbarao on of the late M. Linga Mallan said much reiterates what he had stated in his petition of the 10 October 1903

noted Dacey
2/
2.2.05

We may reply
him accordingly
2.2.05

2/
2.2.05

Draft ready
DMB 3.2.05
3-2-05.

Draft put up
2/
4-2-05

Passed
6.2.1905

2/
6.2.05

G.R.S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

S&A File No. 115 of 1903

Serial No.	
2	To K. Subbarao Naik - No. 7L 5302 d: 10.2.05. Informing that Govt see no special reason in his case to move the Govt of India to sanction the refund of the allowances in question.

MGCPB—20,000—1-11-04.

(7)

D no. 2169
SH. 115-03

TO

The Secretary to the Government
Of H. H. The Maharajah of Mysore,
General & Revenue Departments.

Honored Sir,

I, K. Sub Row Naik, son of the late Mr. Lingo Mallar Naik, Asstt. Supdtt. Mysore Revenue Survey, most humbly beg to represent the following:-

To Branch II & Z 3.12.04 Qun Stay 9/12

I am greatly indebted to the Mysore Government for their sanction. (G.O. Jl 1646-7 SVA.115-03. dated 19-9-04) of an additional pension of Rs.25 per mensem to my father. But letter No:4288 dated 1-9-04 from the first Asstt. to the Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore, states that the Govt. of India have declined to sanction, in the absence of special reasons, the refund of the privilege leave allowences that have been recovered by the Mysore Durbar.

I, however, plead inability to put forth any other "special reasons" than to state

that when the Mysore Govt., wherein the greatest part of my father's service has been rendered, have once paid, according to their rules, the privilege leave allowences to my father when he was alive, it would be hard upon me, if they should deduct the sum from his pension, the whole of which we were eagerly expecting after the death of my father, who was the only earning member of our family.

and that, while the Govt. of India have not ordered any such recovery from the pension sanctioned to my uncle (the brother of my father), Balaji Malhar Naik, who enjoyed the privilege leave of one month preparatory to his retire ment from the same day (1st July/02) as my father did and from the same Department, and in whose case also, the India Govt. have sanctioned as a special case, an enhanced pension of Rs 10, I fail to see why the Govt. of India orders the recovery of the sum once given to my father by the Mysore Govt. as privilege leave allowences which he was entitled to in this Government.

X

To

No. H 5302
~~H Pi 115-05-8~~
SS A

(8)

3

MYSORE GOVT. GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT,
Dated, Bangalore, 10 Dec 1905.

ENDORSEMENT GRANTED TO K Subba Row Naik
Son of the late Mr Linga Malhar Naik Asst Supt
of the Mysore Revenue Survey residing at 297, Hoovadigar
Lane, Bangalore city
in reply to his Petition Registered No. 2169 dated the 1st
December 1904 praying that, the Govt of India having
declined to sanction, in the absence of special reasons, the refund
of the privilege leave allces that have been recovered by the Durbar
from the arrears of pension due to his father the Durbar may assign
any special reasons they deem fit and get the refund of the allces
sanctd.

Petitioner is informed that the Durbar see Govt no
Special reasons in the petitioner's case to justify their making
~~an exception of the case and~~ moving the Govt of India
to sanction the refund of the allowances in question

By Order,

6-2-1905

Secretary.

3/2/05 3/2/05

4-2-05

Copied 2.2.06